## Majority Voting: When It Works

- There are three types of voters in a town: parents, elders, and young couples without children.
- They have different preferences over the level of school spending (high, medium, or low).

|  | Parents <br> $\mathbf{( 3 3 . 3 \% )}$ | Elders <br> $\mathbf{( 3 3 . 3 \% )}$ | Young Couples <br> $\mathbf{( 3 3 . 3 \% )}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First choice | $H$ | $L$ | $M$ |
| Second choice | $M$ | $M$ | $L$ |
| Third choice | $L$ | $H$ | $H$ |

Majority Voting: When It Doesn't Work

- Cycling: When majority voting does not deliver a consistent aggregation of individual preferences.

|  | Public <br> school <br> parents <br> $(33.3 \%)$ | Private <br> school <br> parents <br> $(33.3 \%)$ | Young <br> Couples <br> $(33.3 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First choice | $H$ | $L$ | $M$ |
| Second choice | $M$ | $H$ | $L$ |
| Third choice | $L$ | $M$ | $H$ |

9.2

Single-Peaked versus Non-Single-Peaked Preferences
(a)

(b)



Both candidates positions converge to median

- The farming sector receives $\$ 23$ billion in support from the federal government each year in two forms:
- Direct subsidy payments (\$11 billion)
- Price supports (\$12 billion)
- The average farmer receives more than $\$ 10,000 /$ year in support.
- The average American pays more than $\$ 200 / y e a r$ for this.

Why do American families pay such large costs to support the farm sector?

- One answer: This helps preserve the "family farm."
- But most support goes to large farms, and other countries have farming without subsidies.
- More likely answer: free rider problems.
- Farmers have a strong incentive to support a farm lobby (\$10,000/year).
- Non-farmers have little reason to oppose.

Contracting out often takes place without a competitive bidding process.

- Since early 2000s, Wackenhutt Corporation has been the primary security contractor at U.S. weapons plants.
- Wackenhutt cheated during safety tests, so the inspector general reported the results were "tainted and unreliable."
- Hired by the Nuclear Energy Institute in 2004.
- In 2003 and 2004, DHB industries won hundreds of millions of dollars of contracts to supply body armor to troops in Iraq.
- But in 2002, DHB had to return 6,400 defective vests to the NYPD.
- In 2003, workers accused DHB of sloppy quality control.
- 23,000 vests were recalled from Iraq.
- Since 2005, the Pentagon awarded more than $\$ 50$ million of contracts to Applied Energetics.
- Wanted solutions to combat improvised explosive devices.
- Applied Energetics continued to receive funding after failed test.
- Rival company Xtreme Alternative Defense System, with successful anti-IED technology, has received only $\$ 1.5$ million.
- In 2003, former Illinois governor George Ryan indicted for corruption.
- Sold state contracts in exchange for cash, gifts, loans and trips for his family.
- Replaced by Rod Blagojevich, who campaigned as a reformer.
- In 2008, Blagojevich was arrested on federal corruption charges.
- Tried to sell Obama's Senate seat and pressured Tribute Company to fire critical journalists.


Total Effect of Initial Win on Future ADA Scores: $\gamma$
This figure plots ADA scores after the election at time $t+1$ against the Democrat vote share, time $t$. Each circle is the average ADA score within 0.01 intervals of the Democrat vote share. Solid lines are fitted values from fourthorder polynomial regressions on either side of the discontinuity. Dotted lines are pointwise 95 percent confidence intervals. The discontinuity gap estimates

$$
\gamma=\underbrace{\pi_{0}\left(P_{t+1}^{* D}-P_{t+1}^{* R}\right)}+\underbrace{\pi_{1}\left(P_{t+1}^{* D}-P_{t+1}^{* R}\right)} .
$$

Source: Lee, Moretti, Butler "Affect"

## Single Peaked Preferences



## Median Voter Theorem

Utility



Source: Guethin, Piketty, Toledano 2022

## Figure I

## The Disconnection of Income and Education Cleavages in Western Democracies

In the 1960s, higher-educated and high-income voters were less likely to vote for left-wing (social democratic/socialist/communist/green/other left-wing) parties than were lower-educated and low-income voters by more than 10 percentage points. The left vote has gradually become associated with higher education voters, giving rising to a complete divergence of the effects of income and education on the vote. Figures correspond to five-year averages for Australia, Britain, Canada, Denmark, France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland, and the United States. Estimates control for income/education, age, gender, religion, church attendance, rural/urban, region, race/ethnicity, employment status, and marital status (in country-years for which these variables are available). Data from World Political Cleavages and Inequality Database.

