# Taxation of Transfers and Wealth by Wojciech Kopczuk

Comments by Alan Auerbach December 8, 2011

- 1. They occur only at very infrequent intervals
  - This makes empirical work difficult, since
    - Only observe in a small fraction of the population at any given time
    - Expectations of tax rates far into the future should play a key role in determining behavior
  - A possibly large incentive for tax avoidance
    - If avoidance technology involves some fixed costs, very large payoff to investment

- 2. They apply only to the very top of the wealth distribution
  - Typically 1-2% in the US since 1980
  - Very skewed even among taxpayers
  - Have a potentially large impact on the dynamics of wealth distribution, given how concentrated wealth is
  - Reinforces potential for avoidance, if increasing returns to avoidance expenditures

- 3. They inherently involve direct effects on two parties, the individual leaving the estate and heirs or charities who receive it
  - Makes nature of social welfare evaluation central to determining optimal policy
  - Can push optimal policy toward subsidization:

"Progressive *subsidies* are not the first thing that comes to mind when thinking about treatment of estate taxation for redistributive purposes."

- 3. They inherently involve direct effects on two parties, the individual leaving the estate and heirs or charities who receive it
  - Requires an understanding of motivation (need to extend standard models of utility maximization):
    - Accidental bequests
    - Warm glow
    - Well-being of recipients
    - Exchange
    - Benefits of wealth accumulation per se

- 3. They inherently involve direct effects on two parties, the individual leaving the estate and heirs or charities who receive it
  - We would also like to know more about intergenerational correlations of ability, and the extent to which these relate to bequests

- 4. They are integrally related to death
  - Makes tax avoidance through timing less of an issue
  - May interfere with or shape tax planning
    - Example: holding on to assets too long, given the tax advantages of *inter vivos* gifts
  - Limits the form that the tax may take
    - Can't rely on taxpayer for information about gains, time pattern of wealth accumulation, etc.

- 4. They are integrally related to death
  - Likely to involve important decisions at an advanced age
    - Evidence from other contexts (e.g., financial planning, Medicare Part D plan choice) suggests that such decisions may be challenging

- 5. They may induce sales of illiquid assets
  - Big issue (politically, anyway) is induced sales of family businesses
    - This might be good or bad
    - Empirical evidence is scant, but some suggestion that the effect is present

- 6. They are politically very unpopular
  - Proposals to increase taxes on the *incomes* of "millionaires and billionaires" rather than on their estates, without much regard to the relative equity-efficiency trade-offs

#### Other Issues

- Interactions with other taxes important
  - Integration of estate and gift taxes; changes can lead to complicated incentives
    - Example: 2010 repeal of estate tax, but not gift tax
  - A backstop for capital gains taxation?
    - 2010: replaced with COB
  - Are wealth transfer taxes better or worse than annual wealth taxes?
  - What would the role of the estate tax be under a comprehensive consumption/expenditure tax?

#### Other Issues

- Economic environment important
  - How well annuities market functions
  - Importance of old-age uninsured health shocks

#### Other Issues

- Tangible versus human capital
  - Only tangible transfers are taxed
  - Transfers of human capital (including tangible costs of education) are not taxed
- How important are taxed versus untaxed transfers?
  - Likely to vary substantially across the wealth distribution
  - Same is true of motivations for leaving bequests
    - Accidental bequests can't be important at the top

#### Agenda

What role should wealth transfer taxes play in a well-designed tax system?

- Form (e.g., estate, inheritance, gift, etc.)
- Level
- Progressivity
- Coverage and exemptions