Section 3.3 Supplement, Quotient Vector Spaces (not in de la Fuente):

Definition 1 Given a vector space X and a vector subspace W of X, define an equivalence relation by

$$x \sim y \Leftrightarrow x - y \in W$$

Form a new vector space X/W: the set of vectors is

$$\{[x] : x \in X\}$$

where $[x]$ denotes the equivalence class of x with respect to $\sim$. Note that the vectors are *sets*; this is a little weird at first, but .... Define

$$[x] + [y] = [x + y]$$
$$\alpha[x] = [\alpha x]$$

You should check on your own that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation and that vector addition and scalar multiplication are well-defined, i.e.

$$[x] = [x'], [y] = [y'] \Rightarrow [x + y] = [x' + y']$$
$$[x] = [x'], \alpha \in F \Rightarrow [\alpha x] = [\alpha x']$$

Theorem 2 *If* $\dim X < \infty$, *then*

$$dim(X/W) = \dim X - \dim W$$

Theorem 3 *Let* $T \in L(X, Y)$. *Then* $\operatorname{Im} T$ *is isomorphic to* $X/\ker T$.

Proof: If $\dim X < \infty$, then $\dim X / \ker T = \dim X - \dim \ker T$ (from the previous theorem) $= \operatorname{Rank} T$ (from Theorem 11 in yesterday's lecture) $= \dim \operatorname{Im} T$, so $X / \ker T$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Im} T$. We shall prove that it is true in general, and that the isomorphism is natural. Define

$$\tilde{T}([x]) = T(x)$$

We need to check that this is well-defined.

$$\begin{aligned}
[x] = [x'] \Rightarrow{}& x \sim x' \\
\Rightarrow{}& x - x' \in \ker T \\
\Rightarrow{}& T(x - x') = 0 \\
\Rightarrow{}& T(x) = T(x')
\end{aligned}$$

so $\tilde{T}$ is well-defined. Clearly, $\tilde{T} : X / \ker T \to \operatorname{Im} T$. It is easy to check that $\tilde{T}$ is linear, so $\tilde{T} \in L(X / \ker T, \operatorname{Im} T)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}([x]) = \tilde{T}([y]) \Rightarrow{}& T(x) = T(y) \\
\Rightarrow{}& T(x - y) = 0 \\
\Rightarrow{}& x - y \in \ker T \\
\Rightarrow{}& x \sim y \\
\Rightarrow{}& [x] = [y]
\end{aligned}$$

so $\tilde{T}$ is one-to-one.

$$\begin{aligned}
y \in \operatorname{Im} T \Rightarrow{}& \exists_{x \in X} \; T(x) = y \\
\Rightarrow{}& \tilde{T}([x]) = y
\end{aligned}$$

so $\tilde{T}$ is onto, hence $\tilde{T}$ is an isomorphism. ∎

Example: Consider $T \in L(\mathbf{R}^3, \mathbf{R}^2)$ defined by

$$T(x, y, z) = (x, y)$$

Then $\ker T = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^3 : x = y = 0\}$ is the z-axis. Given (x, y, z), the equivalence class $[(x, y, z)]$ is just the line through $(x, y, 0)$ parallel to the z-axis. $\tilde{T}([(x, y, z)]) = T(x, y, z) = (x, y)$.

Back to de la Fuente:

Every real vector space X with dimension n is isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^n$. What's the isomorphism?

Definition 4 Fix any Hamel basis $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ of X. Any $x \in X$ has a unique representation

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_j v_j$$

(here, we allow $\beta_j = 0$). Generally, vectors are represented as column vectors, not row vectors.

$$crd_V(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

$crd_V(x)$ is the vector of coordinates of x with respect to the basis V.

$$crd_V(v_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad crd_V(v_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad crd_V(v_n) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

crd_V is an isomorphism from X to $\mathbf{R}^n$.

Matrix Representation of a Linear Transformation

Definition 5 Suppose $T \in L(X, Y)$, $\dim X = n$, $\dim Y = m$. Fix bases

$$V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} \text{ of } X$$
$$W = \{w_1, \ldots, w_m\} \text{ of } Y$$

$T(v_j) \in Y$, so
$$T(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{ij} w_i$$

Define
$$Mtx_{W,V}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \cdots & \alpha_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{m1} & \cdots & \alpha_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that the columns are the coordinates (expressed with respect to W) of $T(v_1), \ldots, T(v_n)$.

Observe
$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \cdots & \alpha_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_{m1} & \cdots & \alpha_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{m1} \end{pmatrix}$$

so
$$Mtx_{W,V}(T) \cdot crd_V(v_j) = crd_W(T(v_j))$$
$$\forall_{x \in X} \; Mtx_{W,V}(T) \cdot crd_V(x) = crd_W(T(x))$$

Multiplying a vector by a matrix does two things:

- Computes the action of T

- Accounts for the change in basis

Example: $X = Y = \mathbf{R}^2$, $V = \{(1,0), (0,1)\}$, $W = \{(1,1), (-1,1)\}$, $T = id$, $T(x) = x$,
$$Mtx_{W,V}(T) \neq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$Mtx_{W,V}(T)$ is the matrix which *changes basis* from V to W. How do we compute it?
$$v_1 = (1,0) = \alpha_{11}(1,1) + \alpha_{21}(-1,1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{11} - \alpha_{21} &= 1 \\
\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{21} &= 0 \\
2\alpha_{11} &= 1, \alpha_{11} = \frac{1}{2} \\
\alpha_{21} &= -\frac{1}{2} \\
v_2 = (0,1) &= \alpha_{12}(1,1) + \alpha_{22}(-1,1) \\
\alpha_{12} - \alpha_{22} &= 0 \\
\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{22} &= 1 \\
2\alpha_{12} &= 1, \alpha_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \\
\alpha_{22} &= \frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$Mtx_{W,V}(id) = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ -1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem 6 (3.5') *Let X and Y be vector spaces over the same field F, $\dim X = n$, $\dim Y = m$, bases*

$$V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} \text{ for } X$$
$$W = \{w_1, \ldots, w_m\} \text{ for } Y$$

Then

$$Mtx_{W,V} \in L(L(X,Y), F_{m \times n})$$

$Mtx_{W,V}$ is an isomorphism from $L(X,Y)$ to $F_{m \times n}$, the vector space of $m \times n$ matrices over F.

Theorem 7 ((From Handout)) *Let X, Y, Z be finite-dimensional vector spaces with bases U, V, W respectively, $S \in L(X,Y)$, $T \in L(Y,Z)$. Then*

$$Mtx_{W,V}(T) \cdot Mtx_{V,U}(S) = Mtx_{W,U}(T \circ S)$$

i.e. matrix multiplication corresponds via the isomorphism to composition of linear transformations. Note that $Mtx_{W,V}$ is a function from $L(X, Y)$ to the space of $m \times n$ matrices, while $Mtx_{W,V}(T)$ is an $m \times n$ matrix.

Proof: See handout.■

The theorem can be summarized by the following "Commutative Diagram:"

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & S & & T & \\
X & \rightarrow & Y & \rightarrow & Z \\
crd_U \updownarrow & & \updownarrow crd_V & & \updownarrow crd_W \\
\mathbf{R}^n & \rightarrow & \mathbf{R}^m & \rightarrow & \mathbf{R}^r \\
 & Mtx_{V,U}(S) & & Mtx_{W,V}(T) &
\end{array}
$$

We say the diagram commutes because you get the same answer any way you go around the diagram (in directions allowed by the arrows). The *crd* arrows go in both directions because *crd* is an isomorphism.

Section 3.5, Change of Basis, Similarity

Let X be a finite-dimensional vector space with basis V. If $T \in L(X, X)$ it is customary to use the same basis in the domain and range:

Definition 8

$$Mtx_V(T) \text{ denotes } Mtx_{V,V}(T)$$

Question: If W is another basis for X, how are $Mtx_V(T)$ and $Mtx_W(T)$ related?

$$Mtx_{V,W}(id) \cdot Mtx_W(T) \cdot Mtx_{W,V}(id)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= Mtx_{V,W}(id) \cdot Mtx_{W,V}(T \circ id) \\
&= Mtx_{V,V}(id \circ T \circ id) \\
&= Mtx_V(T)
\end{aligned}$$

$$Mtx_{V,W}(id) \cdot Mtx_{W,V}(id)$$
$$= Mtx_{V,V}(id)$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
& & & & & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}$$
$$Mtx_V(T) = P^{-1} Mtx_W(T) P$$

where

$$P = Mtx_{W,V}(id)$$

is a change of basis matrix. On the other hand, if P is invertible, then P is a change of basis matrix (see handout).

Definition 9 Square matrices A, B are *similar* if

$$A = P^{-1} B P$$

for some invertible matrix P.

Theorem 10 *Suppose that X is finite-dimensional.*

- *If $T \in L(X, X)$ and U, W are any two bases of X, then $Mtx_W(T)$ and $Mtx_U(T)$ are similar.*

- *Conversely, given similar matrices A, B with $A = P^{-1} B P$ and any basis U, there is a basis W and $T \in L(X, X)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
B &= Mtx_U(T) \\
A &= Mtx_W(T)
\end{aligned}$$

7

$$P = Mtx_{U,W}(id)$$
$$P^{-1} = Mtx_{W,U}(id)$$

Proof: See Handout on Diagonalization and Quadratic Forms.■

Section 3.6: Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

De la Fuente defines eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a matrix. Here, we define eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a linear transformation and show that λ is an eigenvalue of T if and only if λ is an eigenvalue for some matrix representation of T if and only if λ is an eigenvalue for every matrix representation of T.

Definition 11 Let X be a vector space and $T \in L(X, X)$. We say that λ is an *eigenvalue* of T and $v \neq 0$ is an *eigenvector corresponding to λ* if $T(v) = \lambda v$.

Theorem 12 (Theorem 4 in Handout) *Let X be a finite-dimensional vector space, and U any basis. Then λ is an eigenvalue of T if and only if λ is an eigenvalue of $Mtx_U(T)$. v is an eigenvector of T corresponding to λ if and only if $crd_U(v)$ is an eigenvector of $Mtx_U(T)$ corrresponding to λ.*

Proof: By the Commutative Diagram Theorem,

$$T(v) = \lambda v \iff crd_U(T(v)) = crd_U(\lambda v)$$
$$\iff Mtx_U(T)(crd_U(v)) = \lambda(crd_U(v))$$

■ *Computing eigenvalues and eigenvectors:*
Suppose $\dim X = n$; let I be the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Given $T \in L(X, X)$, fix a basis U and let

$$A = Mtx_U(T)$$

Find the eigenvalues of T by computing the eigenvalues of A:

$$Av = \lambda v \Leftrightarrow (A - \lambda I)v = 0$$
$$\Leftrightarrow (A - \lambda I) \text{ is not invertible}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \det(A - \lambda I) = 0$$

We have the following facts:

- If $A \in \mathbf{R}_{n \times n}$,

$$f(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I)$$

  is an nth degree polynomial in λ with real coefficients; it is called the *characteristic polynomial* of A.

- f has n roots in $\mathbf{C}$, counting multiplicity:

$$f(\lambda) = (\lambda - c_1)(\lambda - c_2) \cdots (\lambda - c_n)$$

  where $c_1, \ldots, c_n \in \mathbf{C}$ are the eigenvalues; the c_j's are not necessarily distinct. **Notice that $f(\lambda) = 0$ if and only if $\lambda \in \{c_1, \ldots, c_n\}$, so the roots are the solutions of the equation $f(\lambda) = 0$.

- the roots which are not real come in conjugate pairs:

$$f(a + bi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f(a - bi) = 0$$

- if $\lambda = c_j \in \mathbf{R}$, there is a corresponding eigenvector in $\mathbf{R}^n$.

- if $\lambda = c_j \notin \mathbf{R}$, the corresponding eigenvectors are in $\mathbf{C}^n \setminus \mathbf{R}^n$.

Diagonalization

Definition 13 Suppose X is finite-dimensional with basis U. Given a linear transformation $T \in L(X, X)$, let

$$A = Mtx_U(T)$$

We say that *A can be diagonalized* if there is a basis W for X such that $Mtx_W(T)$ is diagonal, i.e.

$$Mtx_W(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that the eigenvectors of $Mtx_W(T)$ are exactly the standard basis vectors of $\mathbf{R}^n$. But w_j is an eigenvector of T for λ_j if and only if $crd_W(w_j)$ is an eigenvector of $Mtx_W(T)$, and $crd_W(w_j)$ is the jth standard basis vector of $\mathbf{R}^n$, so $W = \{w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$ where w_j is an eigvenvector corresponding to λ_j.

Then the action of T is clear: it stretches each basis element w_i by the factor λ_i.

Theorem 14 (6.7') *Let X be an n-dimensional vector space, $T \in L(X, X)$, U any basis of X, and $A = Mtx_U(T)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- *A can be diagonalized*

- *there is a basis W for X consisting of eigenvectors of T*

- *there is a basis V for $\mathbf{R}^n$ consisting of eigenvectors of A*

Proof: Use de la Fuente's Theorem 6.7 and Theorem 4 from the Handout.∎

Theorem 15 (6.8') *Let X be a vector space, $T \in L(X, X)$.*

- *If $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ are distinct eigenvalues of T with corresponding eigenvectors $v_1, \ldots, v_m$, then $\{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ is linearly independent.*

- *If $\dim X = n$ and T has n distinct eigenvalues, then X has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of T; consequently, if U is any basis of X, then $Mtx_U(T)$ is diagonalizable.*

Proof: This is an adaptation of the proof of Theorem 6.8 in de la Fuente.∎