

# Economics 101A

## (Lecture 13)

Stefano DellaVigna

October 12, 2006

## Outline

1. Mid-Term Feedback
2. Investment in Risky Asset II
3. Measures of Risk Aversion
4. Time Consistency
5. Time Inconsistency

# 1 Mid-Term Feedback

- Thanks for the feedback!

## 2 Investment in Risk Asset II

- Individual maximization:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\alpha} & (1 - p) u(w [(1 - \alpha) + \alpha (1 + r_-)]) + \\ & + p u(w [(1 - \alpha) + \alpha (1 + r_+)]) \\ \text{s.t.} & 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

- Case of risk aversion:  $u'' < 0$
- Assume  $0 \leq \alpha^* \leq 1$ , check later

- First order conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & (1 - p) (wr_-) u' (w [1 + \alpha r_-]) + \\ & + p (wr_+) u' (w [1 + \alpha r_+]) \end{aligned}$$

- Solution is  $\alpha^* > 0$  (positive investment in stock)
- Exercise: Check s.o.c.

### 3 Measures of Risk Aversion

- Nicholson, Ch. 18, pp. 541–545 [OLD: Ch. 8, pp. 207–210].

- How risk averse is an individual?

- Two measures:

- Absolute Risk Aversion  $r_A$ :

$$r_A = -\frac{u''(x)}{u'(x)}$$

- Relative Risk Aversion  $r_R$ :

$$r_R = -\frac{u''(x)}{u'(x)}x$$

- Examples in the Problem Set

## 4 Time consistency

- Intertemporal choice
- Three periods,  $t = 0$ ,  $t = 1$ , and  $t = 2$
- At each period  $i$ , agents:
  - have income  $M'_i = M_i + \text{savings/debts from previous period}$
  - choose consumption  $c_i$ ;
  - can save/borrow  $M'_i - c_i$
  - no borrowing in last period: at  $t = 2$   $M'_2 = c_2$

- Utility function at  $t = 0$

$$u(c_0, c_1, c_2) = U(c_0) + \frac{1}{1 + \delta} EU(c_1) + \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2} EU(c_2)$$

- Utility function at  $t = 1$

$$u(c_1, c_2) = U(c_1) + \frac{1}{1 + \delta} EU(c_2)$$

- Utility function at  $t = 2$

$$u(c_2) = U(c_2)$$

- $U' > 0, U'' < 0$

- Question: Do preferences of agent in period 0 agree with preferences of agent in period 1?

- **Period 1.**

- Budget constraint at  $t = 1$ :

$$c_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}c_2 \leq M'_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}M_2$$

- Maximization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max & U(c_1) + \frac{1}{1+\delta}EU(c_2) \\ \text{s.t.} & c_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}c_2 \leq M'_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}M_2 \end{aligned}$$

- First order conditions:

- Ratio of f.o.c.s:

$$\frac{U'(c_1)}{EU'(c_2)} = \frac{1+r}{1+\delta}$$

- Back to **period 0**.
- Agent at time 0 can commit to consumption at time 1 as function of uncertain income  $M_1$ .

- Anticipated budget constraint at  $t = 1$ :

$$c_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}c_2 \leq M'_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}M_2$$

- Maximization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max & U(c_0) + \frac{1}{1+\delta}U(c_1) + \frac{1}{(1+\delta)^2}EU(c_2) \\ \text{s.t.} & c_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}c_2 \leq M'_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}M_2 \end{aligned}$$

- First order conditions:

- Ratio of f.o.c.s:

$$\frac{U'(c_1)}{EU'(c_2)} = \frac{1+r}{1+\delta}$$

- The two conditions coincide!
- **Time consistency.** Plans for future coincide with future actions.

- To see why, rewrite utility function  $u(c_0, c_1, c_2)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 & U(c_0) + \frac{1}{1 + \delta} U(c_1) + \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2} EU(c_2) \\
 = & U(c_0) + \frac{1}{1 + \delta} \left[ U(c_1) + \frac{1}{1 + \delta} EU(c_2) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

- Expression in brackets coincides with utility at  $t = 1$
- Is time consistency right?
  - addictive products (alcohol, drugs);
  - good actions (exercising, helping friends);
  - immediate gratification (shopping, credit card borrowing)

## 5 Time Inconsistency

- Alternative specification (Akerlof, 1991; Laibson, 1997; O'Donoghue and Rabin, 1999)

- Utility at time  $t$  is  $u(c_t, c_{t+1}, c_{t+2})$  :

$$u(c_t) + \frac{\beta}{1 + \delta} u(c_{t+1}) + \frac{\beta}{(1 + \delta)^2} u(c_{t+2}) + \dots$$

- Discount factor is

$$1, \frac{\beta}{1 + \delta}, \frac{\beta}{(1 + \delta)^2}, \frac{\beta}{(1 + \delta)^3}, \dots$$

instead of

$$1, \frac{1}{1 + \delta}, \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2}, \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^3}, \dots$$

- What is the difference?
- *Immediate gratification*:  $\beta < 1$

- Back to our problem: **Period 1.**

- Maximization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max U(c_1) + \frac{\beta}{1 + \delta} EU(c_2) \\ \text{s.t. } c_1 + \frac{1}{1 + r} c_2 \leq M'_1 + \frac{1}{1 + r} M_2 \end{aligned}$$

- First order conditions:

- Ratio of f.o.c.s:

$$\frac{U'(c_1^*)}{EU'(c_2^*)} = \beta \frac{1 + r}{1 + \delta}$$

- Now, **period 0** with commitment.

- Maximization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max & U(c_0) + \frac{\beta}{1 + \delta} U(c_1) + \frac{\beta}{(1 + \delta)^2} EU(c_2) \\ \text{s.t.} & c_1 + \frac{1}{1 + r} c_2 \leq M'_1 + \frac{1}{1 + r} M_2 \end{aligned}$$

- First order conditions:

- Ratio of f.o.c.s:

$$\frac{U'(c_1^{*,c})}{EU'(c_2^{*,c})} = \frac{1 + r}{1 + \delta}$$

- The two conditions differ!

- Time inconsistency:  $c_1^{*,c} < c_1^*$  and  $c_2^{*,c} > c_2^*$

- The agent allows him/herself too much immediate consumption and saves too little

- Ok, we agree. but should we study this as economists?
  
- YES!
  - One trillion dollars in credit card debt;
  - Most debt is in teaser rates;
  - Two thirds of Americans are overweight or obese;
  - \$10bn health-club industry
  
- Is this testable?
  - In the laboratory?
  - In the field?

## 6 Next Lecture

- An Example: Health club Attendance
- Cost Minimization
- Solve an Example
- Cases in which s.o.c. are not satisfied
- Start Profit Maximization