

Economics 280C: Answer to Problem #1

(a) As a preliminary step it is useful to calculate the means of variables. Since shocks are i.i.d. here and there are no multiperiod sources of persistence, conditional means are actually *unconditional* (and will be denoted as such), which simplifies the analysis considerably. It is straightforward to check that, since m is constant, since $i^* = p^* = 0$, and since $E v = E \varepsilon = E g = 0$,

$$E e = E p = w = m, \quad E y = 0.$$

By substituting $E e = m$ into the interest parity relationship we derive

$$i_t = m - e_t + \varepsilon_t,$$

which may be plugged into the money-market equilibrium condition to give

$$m - p_t = y_t - \lambda(m - e_t) + \varphi_t,$$

where $\varphi_t \equiv v_t - \lambda \varepsilon_t$. Using the aggregate supply equation, which implies $y_t = \theta(p_t - m)$, to eliminate y_t , we get

$$(1 + \theta)p_t = -\lambda e_t + (1 + \theta + \lambda)m - u_t. \quad (1)$$

Now equate aggregate demand and supply to infer that

$$(\theta + \delta)p_t = \delta e_t + \theta m + g_t. \quad (2)$$

Equations (1) and (2) are two equations in the unknowns e and p . Solving, we find that

$$e_t = m - \frac{1 + \theta}{\delta(1 + \theta) + \lambda(\delta + \theta)} g_t - \frac{\theta + \delta}{\delta(1 + \theta) + \lambda(\delta + \theta)} \varphi_t, \quad (3)$$

$$p_t = m + \frac{\lambda}{\delta(1 + \theta) + \lambda(\delta + \theta)} g_t - \frac{\delta}{\delta(1 + \theta) + \lambda(\delta + \theta)} \varphi_t. \quad (4)$$

From this last equation and the aggregate supply schedule we derive

$$y_t = \frac{\theta(\lambda g_t - \delta \varphi_t)}{\delta(1 + \theta) + \lambda(\delta + \theta)}. \quad (5)$$

(b) Since the mutual covariances of all the shocks are zero, $\sigma_\varphi^2 = \sigma_v^2 + \lambda^2 \sigma_\varepsilon^2$ and, from eq. (5),

$$\sigma_{y|float}^2 = \frac{\theta^2 \lambda^2 \sigma_g^2 + \theta^2 \delta^2 \sigma_\varphi^2}{[\delta(1 + \theta) + \lambda(\delta + \theta)]^2}. \quad (6)$$

(c) Setting aggregate demand and supply equal, one can show that with the exchange rate fixed at \bar{e} and the money supply endogenous instead,

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \bar{e} + \frac{g_t}{\theta + \delta}, \\ y &= \frac{\theta g_t}{\theta + \delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

(d) The variance under a fixed exchange rate is, using eq. (7),

$$\sigma_{y|fix}^2 = \frac{\theta^2 \sigma_g^2}{(\theta + \delta)^2}. \quad (8)$$

(e) From eq. (6), when $\sigma_\varphi^2 = 0$,

$$\sigma_{y|float}^2 = \frac{\theta^2 \lambda^2 \sigma_g^2}{[\delta(1 + \theta) + \lambda(\delta + \theta)]^2} < \frac{\theta^2 \sigma_g^2}{(\theta + \delta)^2} = \sigma_{y|fix}^2.$$

(f) Under the interest-rate rule, $Ei = i^* = 0$ and $Ep = 0$. For ex post values, we have

$$e_t = \frac{\theta + \delta}{\theta + \delta(1 + \psi)} \varphi_t - \frac{\psi}{\theta + \delta(1 + \psi)} g_t,$$

$$p_t = \frac{\delta}{\theta + \delta(1 + \psi)} \varphi_t + \frac{1}{\theta + \delta(1 + \psi)} g_t,$$

$$y_t = \frac{\theta(\delta\varphi_t + g_t)}{\theta + \delta(1 + \psi)},$$

$$\sigma_{y|float}^2 = \frac{\theta^2 \delta^2 \sigma_\varphi^2 + \theta^2 \sigma_g^2}{[\theta + \delta(1 + \psi)]^2}.$$

(g) Under a (credibly) fixed exchange rate, the domestic interest rate is fixed at $i = i^* = 0$. Price and output are determined simply by the intersection of aggregate demand and supply, given \bar{e} , as in part (c) above, so eqs. (7) and (8) still apply. When $\sigma_\varphi^2 = 0$, meaning that there are no interest-rate shocks,

$$\sigma_{y|float}^2 = \frac{\theta^2 \sigma_g^2}{[\theta + \delta(1 + \psi)]^2} < \frac{\theta^2 \sigma_g^2}{(\theta + \delta)^2} = \sigma_{y|fix}^2.$$

(In general, this could be the case too if the policy shocks u to the interest rate perfectly offset the portfolio preference shocks ε in the interest-parity relation. Of course, that would require an unrealistically high degree of knowledge of market sentiment by the central bank.) If financial shocks dominate (large σ_φ^2 relative to σ_g^2), the variance inequality would be reversed, and more easily for small values of ψ . The v shocks in the LM curve are irrelevant now because the money supply is endogenous and moves to offset automatically shocks to the quantity of money demanded.