

# 1 Simultaneous Equations

- Need to test if the instrumental variables have been successful. Test suggested by Hausman, J.A. (1983) "Specification and Estimation of Simultaneous Equation Models", in Griliches, Z. and Intriligator, M.D. (eds) *Handbook of Econometrics, Vol I*, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- If we have an equation:  $Y_i = a_1 + b_1 X_i + u_i$ , where both  $Y$  and  $X$  are endogenous. Also that there is an instrument set  $Z_{Mi}$ ,  $M = 1 \dots m$ , such that  $X_i = g_0 + g_1 Z_{1i} + \dots + g_m Z_{mi} + v_i$ . Once you have estimated:

$$Y_i = a_1 + b_1 \hat{X}_i + u_i$$

take the residuals ( $\hat{u}_i$ ) and regress (by OLS):

$$\hat{u}_i = h_0 + h_1 Z_{1i} + \dots + h_m Z_{mi} + \eta_i$$

- Obtain the  $R^2$  of the  $u$  on  $Z$  equation, multiply by the degrees of freedom  $(n - k)$ . Compare with the  $\chi^2_{M-1}$  tabled value, where  $M - 1$  are the number of over-identifying restrictions:

$$[R^2 * (n - k)] \sim \chi^2_{M-1}$$

- Angrist, J. and Evans, W. "Children and their Parents Labor Supply: Evidence from Exogenous Variation in Family Size", *American Economic Review*, June 1998.
- Note studies that show that parents have strong preference for mixed sex-sibling composition. Parents of same sex siblings are significantly and substantially more likely to have an additional child.
- As sex is virtually randomly assigned - a third child given the sex of the first two provides a plausible instrument.
- IF the instrument is truly random, you can use a simple instrumental variable estimator (called the Wald estimator):

$$\hat{b} = \frac{(\bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_0)}{(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_0)}$$

where  $Y$  = variable of interest,  $X$  = the other endogenous variable, and  $Z$  is a binary indicator as instrument that shows if an individual was part of the 'treatment' ( $Z = 1$ ) or 'control' ( $Z = 0$ ) group.

- Note that it is assumed that no other co-variates play a role. If it is suspected that they do, have to use instrumental variables as set out above.